

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,738	01/02/2001	Geng Zhang	279.E71US1	3767
45458 SCHWEGMA	7590 10/18/2007 N. I.I.NIDBERG & WOES	EXAMINER		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/BSC-CRM PO BOX 2938			SCHAETZLE, KENNEDY	
MINNEAPOL	IINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3766	
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	'		10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/753,738	ZHANG ET AL.				
• Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art-Unit				
	Kennedy Schaetzle	3766				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a re d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31.	July 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>52,53,56-59 and 64-66</u> is/are pendin	ng in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>52,53,56-59 and 64-66</u> is/are rejecte	ed.	·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 H.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority under 55 5.5.5.3	110(a) (a) 31 (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		oplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	at of the certified copies not r	eceived.				
•		•				
•						
Attachment(s)	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		/Mail Date formal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Application/Control Number: 09/753,738

Art Unit: 3766

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 30, 2007 has been entered.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 52, 53, 56-59 and 64-66 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 of U.S. Patent No. 7,092,756. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the present application are

Application/Control Number: 09/753,738

Art Unit: 3766

merely broader versions of the claims in the copending application (note *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993)).

## Response to Arguments

4. The Power of Attorney submitted September 20, 2007 has been accepted. The Double Patenting rejection has been repeated since the Terminal Disclaimer referenced in the Remarks of July 31, 2007 has not been submitted.

#### Conclusion

5. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kennedy Schaetzle whose telephone number is 571 272-4954. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:30 -6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Layno can be reached on M-F at 571 272-4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3766

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KJS October 13, 2007

KENNEDY SCHAETZLE